IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

CWP NO.16171 of 2006

DATE OF DECISION: October 16, 2006

Dr.S.C.Aggarwal and another

….Petitioners

VERSUS

State of Haryana and others

…..Respondents

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VINEY MITTAL
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE H.S. BHALLA

PRESENT: Shri Pritam Saini, Advocate for the petitioners.

Viney Mittal,J.(Oral).

The petitioners were concededly carrying on the commercial activities in a residential building in which they were not residing. Consequently, an eviction order has been passed qua the petitioners.

Shri Pritam Saini, the learned counsel appearing for the petitioners, during the course of arguments, has informed the Court that the petitioners have since stopped the commercial activities in the building and have even shifted the commercial activities from the residential premises.

In these circumstances, the present petition has been rendered infructuous and the same is disposed of accordingly.

However, if the commercial activities have been stopped in the residential premises, then the petitioners or the owner of the

residential premises may approach the competent authority for restoration of the site, in accordance with law.

(Viney Mittal)
Judge

October 16, 2006
KD

(H.S. Bhalla)
Judge